7/25/67

Dear Hal,

your letter of 7/21 is almost 100% feedback. Perhaps you can now understand why there was such an abrupt change in what was coming out of New Orleans beginning the end of April. Your RFK stuff is right, bit I have a different analysis: he was conned by friends. Also, more than even I suspect an Epstein connection, prob. thru former advisers.

I haveN8t read the Nashville clip because I want to make the outgoing mail. Bannister was violently radical right, but I have no clip, pamphlets, etc. If you could send me that piece I'd like to add it to the appendix of Oswald in New Orleans. Parallax is doing it in reprint size and I in my format with an appendix of more than 300 pages. Parallax promises theirs in three weeks. I'm using their type, blowing it up to 6x9, and doing the app like in PW. There will be no time for references back to the pages or the other way. I'd like that to be possible, but too much time has been lost already. Remember, this book was done in April, before I went to NO (where I let Garrison Xerox it). You got much of it on his NBC appearance. You've been getting some of the rest in dribbles. My worst fear is that he'll give that and some of his stuff to one of us I regard as conscionable as penus erectus, who I keep hearing, is working on a book,

I did not expect NBC to use. I just want to know if they use the film they made of me because of the possiblity of cirrupt editing.

You'll/see the Friends De, Cuba, Paneque, etc., in Oswald In N.O. Those are my docs. It was probably William S, Oswald, who worked at Reilly or Standard (same), while LHO was in USSR. Ratherminteresting, two O's connected with CIA, LHO working at same place, next to FBI, SS garage, accross from P.O., short block from CRC, Bannister, etc.

You'll find that just about all of this comes from me. I turned my pic leads and suspicions over to Sprague, who has done very well in following them. He and JH have found what you'd expect from the note at the end of the index of PWI I've turned all of this over to Billings of LIFE, who is cooperating. Lovelady is in Gaston Hosp. Rm 241, allegedly cancer! Notcertain what Jim meant by auto rifle, but probably in combination Martin-Willis other films Probably Sprague's stuff. I suspect FBC forerunner INCA....I'll be printing the DeSlatte FBI report....There are two Hidell-Haydels. One a pervert from Houma, the other a deadbeat on the tires, both read, neither LHO. I have the stuff... I'd like the Liebeler clip on "flying trip". I have one not quite that. Some day he and I....The indications are Ferrie drove. I have all these reports, too, and print them in app....I think but I'm not sure I included that part of Walker's test...in O in NO. Ferrie was with two FBI agents, I've forgotten but I think one was Regis Kennedy, at the time of the ass., outside the court in NO. I suspect this is one of the suppressed reports....Your list of suspects quoted fromGurvich is accurate to Arcachia. There should be others with him and to Kennedy add deBrueys. He threatened Pena after Orest testified. I've arranged for Orest to appear before Grand Jury. I took Asst DA to him. He also places deBrueys at CRC, other mettings, regularly. Similarly, other source and groups, Logan! Shhhhh! It's a hot book, and you'll have it soon....Ochsner is radical right. His outfit haven for them....Your conjecture WDSU good. NBC is more connected that you suspect on this, and with CIA....I'll copy that "Councilor" and return. I've bought a 3M copier, which broke the first day. I'm awaiting the repairman now ...

Esquire author Behrend, a quasifink who is responsible for the other pieces. JH has been collaborating with him. It is Salandria s stuff. I'm not impressed or convinced. Haven't seen article. I have little time for reading and have that sort of theng only when it is sent. I usually read what I do of it in the bathroom. By the time I go to bed now I'm too tired to even read. The hours and years are telling, but the output is holding up....OnRFK again, you'll see the enclosed letter, not the first recent one....The ten second cannot all be on Jackie and Clint. I do not know what it is but I'd like to. There is some we haven't identified. LIFE is buying all this they can get, and I want them to have it.

I'll see if they are interested in caller on Dolan show-conventions. Too much for me. I'm going to finish another book and publish it soon and I'll have to have some time for promotions or I'll be a bankrupt. Next on autopsy (again shhh! until it is out). Maybe we can get Dolan and Morgan, after NO book is out, and Morgan on his pix man, to do shows. Thanks for the list.

The list of typos is valuable. More than usual here, and this time I got ax newsman to read proof! I have to get off on something else as soon as I complete one. I find also I tend to thrust published book from my mind, and its contents. There is too much to remember. But you'll see what I did with that incident. I have tape of Dolan SEP show and his cracks at me after I was on, but I haven't altered a single word of the book as drafted about him and his show. Didn't you notice Garrison used it on NBC, in small part?

For the immediate future my financial condition will be very bad. I've spent every cent on a down payment on a nice house, which we need, having been in a subterranean garret for all these years (with enough out aside for the required work on it) and borrowed $29,000 from the bank, against Dell royalties. I owe for the new book, will for the more expensive O in NO edition, and for those that follow. The market is getting tight, so I'm in risky business. If any of that promised money is available for the trip, I can use it! My deal with Parallax, which is small and on this will not have their usual S&S distribution, is co-publisher. Instead of a royality or advance, we split any profit or loss down the middle. So, I also live dangerously!

Had glance Squires piece. Justabout all mine or from my leads, save Marina, Ruth, Some of my source, who I turned over, may have provided more. Now do you understand why I suggested the research to you and Paul?

Hurriedly,